The Munich University

Lecture (November, 2007)

"Toward European Identity and integration"

By Mustafa Ceric, Ph.D. Grand Mufti of Bosnia

Three Important Questions

1. What are the key problems impacting interfaith understanding at the beginning of 21st century?

[W]hat I ask of the free thinker is that he should confront religion in the same mental state as the believer ... [He] who does not bring to the study of religion a sort of religious sentiment cannot speak about it! He is like a blind man trying to talk about color.

There cannot be a rational interpretation of religion which is fundamentally irreligious; an irreligious interpretation of religion would be an interpretation which denied the phenomenon it was trying to explain.¹

These Emile Durkheim's statements are relevant now as they were at the time of their expression. They are today even more relevant because of the point to "the key problems impacting interfaith understanding at the beginning of 21st century".

First, from my Bosnian experience, I can witness that the intolerant religious expression is not result of one's deep and honest religiosity, but rather the lack of it. Those who adopt religious beliefs freely and gradually, express it gradually and peacefully. But those who are denied the right to learn about religious beliefs freely and gradually in a manner of their family or community tradition, tend to be later, when they discover their religious roots, impatient in their desire to make up what they have missed. This observation might be applied to almost all the post-communist societies, which were based on the total or partial denial of religion as such.

However, the communist approach toward the idea of God and religion as Karl Marx's "opium" is just an application of the state forced suspension of religious freedom. We have to observe, though, that the Godless movement, particularly in Europe in last few centuries, is also responsible for the lack of "religious sentiment"

¹ Emile Durkheim, "Contribution to discussion 'Religious Sentiment at the Present Time," reproduced in W.S.F. Pickering, *Durkheim on Religion: A Selection of Readings with Bibliographies*, London, Routledge, 1975, p. 184.

the result of which, I believe, are today's problems of interfaith misunderstanding. We have now generations who are educated on the assumption as if God does not exist, but suddenly they are discovering that God does exist after all and that "religions are founded on and express "the real", as Emile Durkheim insists.² As we can see, the indifference toward the issue of God and religions cannot hold on any more. People are faced with "the real" of religions, but they are equipped neither with religious experience nor religious knowledge how to deal with "the real of religions". The ignorance brings about fears. And fear is the most powerful enemy of reason which often leads to intolerance and violence. It is well known saying: "Men feared witches and burnt women".

Second, I am aware that it is nothing new to say that ignorance is the main cause for an interfaith misunderstanding, but it would be probably new if I say that some information and certain intellectual concepts may be the reason for interhuman misunderstanding as well. It has been already observed that the Cartesian concept about the human beings led many people to believe that "we are separate from the earth, entitled to view it as nothing more than an inanimate collection of resources that we can exploit however, we like... The old story of God's covenant with both the earth and humankind, and its assignment to human beings of the role of good stewards and faithful servants, was – before it was misinterpreted and twisted in the service of the Cartesian worldview – a powerful, noble and just explanation of who we are in relation to God's earth. What we need today is a fresh telling of our story with the distortions removed".³

Physics is our story about physical, chemistry about chemical, biology about living, psychology about inner human and sociology about interhuman dimension of our life, whereas religion attempts to provide a comprehensive explanation of the entirety of life. Religion tends to be absolute in the sense of unlimited truth claims. Hence, we humans are aware more and more that our statements about "the ultimate meaning of life" are limited. However, those who want to make their statements as "unlimited truth clams" tend to assume the place of religion. They make religion part of the problem rather than of the solution through a comprehensive knowledge. For, there is a difference between information and knowledge. One may be well informed but not necessarily knowledgeable. Thus, ignorance and poor knowledge are two "problems impacting interfaith understanding at the beginning of 21st century".

2. Can reason and the experience that derive from the Enlightenment and secular life provide common ground for people of different beliefs, or are they necessary an impediment keeping them apart?

I do not see "Enlightenment and secular life" as two faces of the same coin. Neither the modern Enlightenment did come about as the result of "secular life", nor the modern secular life was brought about by "Enlightenment". On the contrary,

² Karen E. Fields, "Religion as an Eminently Social Thing", Translator's Introduction in Emile Durkheim, *The Elementary Forms of Religious Life*, The Free Press, London, 1995, p. xvii.

³ Al Gore, *Earth in the Balance – Ecology and the Human Spirit*, New York, 2006, p. 218).

these two modern phenomena have derived from a deep faith⁴ and a sincere search for human morality. For, neither the Enlightenment nor the secular life excludes the experience of faith and religious life.

In fact, it is a wrong assumption that to be "enlightened" one should be free from religious feelings that have brought us not only to the lack of interfaith understanding, but also to the crisis of intercultural communication. And it is the misinterpretation of the secular as a Godless life that have created the tension between the State and the Church.

I believe that we are today at the most interesting crossroad of interchange of religious and secular as well as of rational and spiritual life because we are aware that religion without reason cannot fulfill its mission, but also we know that reason without faith cannot diliver. In the same way as the minds of secular thought have been disturbed by the incompetence of rationality of religious men, the minds of religions thought today are irritated by the corruption of morality of secular men. Historically, the minds of rationality were able to reshape the religious life for the last few centuries. It remains to be seen whether the minds of religion are capable to bring into the secular life its lost spirituality.

We need a new Enlightenment, indeed – we need to enlighten "the Enlightenment" by putting into it the spirit of morality and decency. We need a new Westphalia where the reason and faith should meet in the manner of mutual trust and humility. The faith should provide reason with a strong confidence in its search for the meaning of human life and the reason should provide faith with a right balance in its endeavor to find the link between spiritual and physical world.

Ours is not the time of separation of the essential elements of social life. Ours is the time of unity in diversity. Hence, the faith is too important to be left to the theologians alone, and the politics is too serious to be left to the politicians alone. There is no one-reason solution for our today's problems and there is no one-faith domination for our today's needs. We have no choice but to share the space with many reasons and many faiths. The three Abrahamic faiths – Judaism, Christianity and Islam must accept the fact that they share the same idea of cosmogony and eschatology; that they share the same space of the link between the Heaven and Earth – Jerusalem; that they share the same God's Commandments of Sinai – you shall worship One God, you shall be good to your parents, you shall not kill, you shall not steal...

3. Can possibilities for cross-religious cooperation be developed, or are hopes that tolerance and reconciliation might transcend religious boundaries simply unrealistic?

I am coming from the country which has a long history of cross-religious cooperation. But, also, I am coming from the city of Sarajevo which is known by the war at the beginning and the end of last century. I want to tell you that the $21^{\rm st}$

⁴By "faith" I mean God's gift of personal belief; by "morality" I mean man's inner understanding of the difference between good and evil; and by "religion" I mean a theology as "the study of God and his relation to the world especially by analysis of the origin and teachings of an organized religious community". Thus, morality implies some kind of religiosity, but religiosity is not necessarily consistent with morality.

started without a war in Sarajevo. This is a good sign of hope that this whole century will end up without wars in Balkan, Europe and the world. After all, Sarajevo deserves to be the symbol of peace, the city of tolerance and the inspiration for reconciliation.

The fact that the postwar time or postpeace agreement in my country witness no violence and no revenge for genocide demonstrate that the aggression against my country and my people was not religious. On the contrary, the war against Bosnia and Herzegovina was against all religions and all moral values. It is the evil of man which religion cannot control that makes some people violent in the name of religion. But those who kill innocent people in the name of God are not men of God. They are men of evil.

Hence, it is the voice of sound reason that those who want truth and justice instead of revenge and those who work for reconciliation instead of continuous conflict to be awarded not punished.

I say that because it is really humiliation for us Bosnians to stand before European embassies in Sarajevo for visas. The good Bosnian are saying now that the humanitarian aid which was coming from Europe during the four years of Sarajevo besiege is taken back through the visa charges.

But this is not matter of money. It is the matter of honor and respect.

I believe that the Bosnians have shown to the whole world that they are worth of respect for their cross-religious cooperation and tolerance. Because Sarajevo is not only a symbol of the eternal city of tolerance, but also a sign of the European unity in diversity of faiths and cultures.

Indeed, if the last century started and ended with war in Sarajevo, we should declare here and now that the war should never happen again to no one and nowhere. Because Bosnia is not only the heart of Balkan, but also the soul of Europe. Thus, the soul of Europe will not be at peace until Bosnia takes its place in the European family of nations. This fact Europe should understand now more than ever before. For, Europe should not allow to be deceived once again by those who have no respect for human life.

A Bosnian Prayer

Sometimes God breaks our spirit to save our soul!

Sometimes God breaks our heart to make us whole!

Sometimes God allows pain so we can be stronger!

Sometimes God sends us failure so we can be humble!

Sometimes God allows illness so we can take better care of ourselves!

Sometimes God takes everything away from us

So that we can value everything God has given us!